



Your details

Submission details

I am making this submission as

A resident in a bushfire-affected area

Submission type

I am making a personal submission

Consent to make submission public

I would like this submission to remain anonymous

Share your experience or tell your story

Your story

I strongly oppose the RFS 10/50 Clearing Code. I quote:
"This code allows property owners within 100m of parks and bushland to cut down trees based on self-assessment.

Many magnificent old trees with large hollows used for habitat have been taken out because of what is permitted under this legislation."

The number of properties adjoining bushland is huge, so the devastation that this will bring to the environment is massive, at the time when we have had very large environmental devastation due to land clearing, bushfires and climate change.

These properties (like my own) attract owners and buyers through the beauty of the natural environment, and the elevated fire risk is a risk one is aware of and accept when you move here. It is the cost you pay for living in a more natural environment.

I think the most effective way of bringing the fire risk down is to take steps to address climate change, which will make the bush less flammable. By allowing greater devastation of the environment through measures like these, climate change can only be further accelerated, which will bring even bigger catastrophies.

Terms of Reference (optional)

The Inquiry welcomes submissions that address the particular matters identified in its [Terms of Reference](#).

1.1 Causes and contributing factors

Climate change is the chief driver of fire risk for the bush.

1.2 Preparation and planning

Take measures to reduce climate change.
Build or equip houses to be more resistant to fires, e.g. sprinklers, no wooden/flammable house walls, emergency reflective thermal screens for windows (e.g. like what is used inside the cabin of fire trucks).

Supporting documents or images
